

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/667,928		09/22/2003	William K. Kappel	SGM 6945.4	1620	
321	7590	12/15/2004		EXAMINER		
		ERS LEAVITT ANI AN SQUARE	COLE, MONIQUE T			
16TH FLOOR				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ST LOUIS, MO 63102				1743	1743	
			DATE MAILED: 12/15/2004			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

-			(					
		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
		10/667,928	KAPPEL ET AL.					
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
		Monique T. Cole	1743					
Period for	The MAILING DATE of this communication app r Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address					
THE N - Extense after S - If the p - If NO - Failure Any re	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period to the to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing dipatent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tingly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a RANDONE	mely filed  /s will be considered timely.  the mailing date of this communication.  ED (35 U.S.C. 8 133)					
Status	•		•					
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 S	antember 2003						
		s action is non-final.						
· <u> </u>	7,2							
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
	on of Claims							
	Claim(s) 1-28 is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	Claim(s) <u>23-28</u> is/are allowed.							
	Claim(s) 1-22 is/are rejected.							
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application								
	The specification is objected to by the Examine							
	☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  1) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
11)[1	ne oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority ur	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
a)[ 1 2 3	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign  All b) Some * c) None of:  Certified copies of the priority documents  Certified copies of the priority documents  Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureause the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage					
06	2 410 attached detailed Office action for a list (	or the certified copies not receive	u.					
Attachment(:								
	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4)						
3) 🔯 Informa	ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date		atent Application (PTO-152)					

Application/Control Number: 10/667,928

Art Unit: 1743

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
   The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claim 2 recites the limitation "A is an ether linkage." There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. The claim on which claim 2 depends discloses A as a thioether or selenoether linkage.
- 3. Claim 8 recites the limitation "A is an amide linkage." There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. The claim on which claim 8 depends discloses A as a thioether or selenoether linkage.

### Double Patenting

1. A rejection based on double patenting of the "same invention" type finds its support in the language of 35 U.S.C. 101 which states that "whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process ... may obtain a patent therefor ..." (Emphasis added). Thus, the term "same invention," in this context, means an invention drawn to identical subject matter. See Miller v. Eagle Mfg. Co., 151 U.S. 186 (1894); In re Ockert, 245 F.2d 467, 114 USPQ 330 (CCPA 1957); and In re Vogel, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970).

A statutory type (35 U.S.C. 101) double patenting rejection can be overcome by canceling or amending the conflicting claims so they are no longer coextensive in scope. The filing of a terminal disclaimer <u>cannot</u> overcome a double patenting rejection based upon 35 U.S.C. 101.

2. Claims 1, 3, 4 & 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claims 1, 6, 7 & 8 of prior U.S. Patent No. 6,623,655. This is a double patenting rejection.

Application/Control Number: 10/667,928

Art Unit: 1743

3. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See In re Goodman, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); In re Longi, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); In re Van Ornum, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); In re Vogel, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, In re Thorington, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

4. Claims 2 & 6-22 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 3-25 & 65-69 of U.S. Patent No. 6,623,655 to Kappel et al. (herein referred to as "Kappel '655"). Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the scope of the instant claims is covered by the patented claims of Kappel '655.

## Allowable Subject Matter

- 5. Claims 23-28 are allowed.
- 6. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: the prior art does not teach or suggest the claimed process for the preparation of a mono- or dicarboxylated amine.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Monique T. Cole whose telephone number is 571-272-1255. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 6:30 A.M. to 4:00 P.M.

Art Unit: 1743

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jill Warden can be reached on 571-272-1267. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

M. J. Cole Monique T. Cole

Examiner

Art Unit 1743

mtc